

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,717	08/09/2001	Richard Fischbeck	00-106	6856
24124 759	90 07/14/2006		EXAMINER	
BOHAN, MATHERS & ASSOCIATES, LLC PO BOX 17707 PORTLAND, ME 04112-8707			A, PHI DIEU TRAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Total Billio, Ind. over over			3637	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/928,717	FISCHBECK, RICHARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phi D. A	3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communic					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. story period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 12 April 2006.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	o)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice	e under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-38 and 42-47</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-38,42-47</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objecti		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	•	, , ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	recognificant, and or of older.				
1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received.				
• • • •	ocuments have been received in Ap	pplication No.			
	f the priority documents have been r				
application from the International		•			
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG	O-948) Paper No(s).	/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	TO/SB/08) 5) \(\bigcap \) Notice of inf	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _·			

Application/Control Number: 09/928,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fernstrum

(5340349).

2.

Fernstrum (figures 1, 4) shows a structure comprising a plurality of conical elements

(14), each conical element of the plurality of conical elements having a cone base, a cone wall

and a vertex, the cone wall defined by straight lines (figure 3) that extend from the base and

intersect each other at the vertex, the plurality of conical elements being arranged to form a shell

such that at least one straight line of the cone wall of a first conical element extends substantially

parallel to at least one straight line in the cone wall of an adjacent conical element so as to form a

straight strut between the vertex of the first conical element and the adjacent conical element, the

conical elements being arranged such that a distance and a direction of displacement between

any two cone bases of adjacently placed conical elements being infinitely variable between a

minimum limit and a maximum limit (the limits not yet known).

3. Claims 32, 42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fuller

(3203144).

Fuller (figures 3-11) shows a structure comprising a plurality of conical elements (3),

each conical element of the plurality of conical elements having a cone base(8), a cone wall(3)

and a vertex (figure 6 where the walls 3 meet at the tip), the cone wall defined by straight lines

Application/Control Number: 09/928,717 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

that extend from the base and intersect each other at the vertex, the plurality of conical elements being arranged to form a shell(figure 1) such that at least one straight line of the cone wall of a first conical element extends substantially parallel to at least one straight line in the cone wall of an adjacent conical element (figures 6 shows the walls 4 and 3 being parallel, the ones on the opposite side of the vertex) so as to form a straight strut between the vertex of the first conical element and the adjacent conical element, the conical elements being arranged such that a distance and a direction of displacement between any two cone bases of adjacently placed conical elements being infinitely variable between a minimum limit and a maximum limit (the limits not yet known), the angular deficit Alpha of the conical element varies in magnitude from the angular deficit Alpha of an adjacent conical element, the plurality of conical elements including two groups of conical elements, each group having different magnitude of the angular deficit Alpha, the conical elements of the groups being arranged in an alternating pattern (figures 12-13), a skin (5 or 6) that is placed over the shell, the conical elements being arranged with the vertex of the conical elements facing inward and the vertex of other ones of the conical elements facing outward so as to form the shell having an irregular shape, the conical elements being constructed of sheet material from a group of material consisting of paper fiber products, sheet metal, polymeric material, a fastening means (adhesives) for attaching the conical elements to one another, the conical elements are placed in an overlapping arrangement wherein a portion of the base of the first conical element overlaps with a portion of the cone wall of the adjacent conical element so as to form the shell (figure 6).

Application/Control Number: 09/928,717

Art Unit: 3637

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fernstrum.

Fernstrum shows all the claimed limitation except for the angular deficit Alpha of the conical element varies in magnitude from the angular deficit Alpha of an adjacent conical element.

Fernstrum further discloses that varying in size, materials, shape, form, function are deemed readily apparent and obvious to one skilled in the art (col 4 lines 44-52).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Fernstrum's structure to show the angular deficit Alpha of the conical element varies in magnitude from the angular deficit Alpha of an adjacent conical element because varying the size and shape of an element is readily apparent and obvious to one skilled in the art as disclosed by Fernstrum.

6. Claims 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chamberlain (4270320) in view of Fuller (3203144).

Chamberlain shows a structure comprising a plurality of curving elements (26), each element of the plurality of curving elements having a base, a wall and a vertex, the conical elements being arranged such that a distance and a direction of displacement between any two cone bases of adjacently placed conical elements being infinitely variable between and minimum limit and a maximum limit (the limits not yet known), the element being a circular and said base

Application/Control Number: 09/928,717

Art Unit: 3637

being a circular base, the curving elements being placed in an overlapping arrangement (figure 15a) with a portion of the cone wall said adjacent element so as to form said shell, the elements being arranged such that the vertex of the circular cone points outward from the shell, the portion of the circular base of the first circular element overlaps a portion of said wall of at least three adjacent curving elements, so as to form the shell having a closed surface (figure 15a), the overlapping arrangement further includes an overlap of a portion of the circular base of the first element with a portion of the wall of at least a second element, a third element, and a fourth element, a first amount of overlap between the first element and the second element forming a first strut distance and direction between the vertexes of the first element and the second element. a second amount of overlap between the first element and the third element forming a second strut distance and direction between the vertexes of the first element and the third element, a third amount of overlap between the first element and the fourth element forming a third strut distance and direction between the vertexes of the first and fourth elements, the first strut distance and direction being any distance and direction between the minimum and maximum limits (the limits are not yet known), the second strut distance and direction being any distance and direction between the maximum and minimum limits, the third strut distance is any distance and direction between the minimum and maximum limits, an opening is formed in the shell to provide access to an inner space of the shell (col 2 lines 7-12), the element having an element length defined by a length of the wall from the base to the vertex and wherein the maximum limit is slightly less than a sum of the element lengths of any two adjacent elements, the element having an element length defined by a length of the wall from the base to the vertex and wherein

Page 5

the minimum limit is slightly greater than one-half of a sum of the element lengths of any two adjacent elements.

Chamberlain does not disclose the elements being conical elements.

Fuller discloses overlapping conical elements forming the geodesic structure.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chamberlain's structure to show the elements being conical elements as taught by Fuller because the conical elements enables the formation of a decorative dome shape structure as taught by Fuller.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32-38, 42-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different geodesic structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/928,717

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

Phi Dieu Tran A

7/8/06